



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/663,250	09/663,250 09/15/2000		David G. Matsuura	18608-001910	3797	
20350	7590	09/20/2002	•			
		TOWNSEND	EXAMINER			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR				DAVIS, DANIEL J		
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			Į.	ARTUNII	TATER NUMBER	
				3731		
			1	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/663,250	MATSUURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	D Jacob Davis	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 August 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29 and 39-41</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-29 and 39-41</u> is		eration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8,9 and 12-15</u> is/are rejecte	ed.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,7,10,11</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		,
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) D Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/663,250

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-15, drawn to a method for sealing a hole, classified in class 606, subclass 213.
- II. Claims 16-29 and 39-41, drawn to a device for sealing a hole in a body, classified in class 606, subclass 151.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the device may be used in a materially different process, such as occluding an aneurysm.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/663,250

Art Unit: 3731

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claims 16-29 and 39-41 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected apparatus, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kensey (US 5,061,274). In Figures 4-8, Kensey discloses the method for sealing a hole. The device elements include a cylindrical shaped mesh 20, a push rod 38, a tether 56,58, and a tubular inserter 30.

For claims 5 and 14, what is traditionally referred to as the "proximal end" here refers to the distal end in the Kensey. Thus, "the mesh bulges around the perimeter of the proximal end [distal end] of the hole". In claim 14, the "proximal" cylindrically shaped mesh 20 refers to element 64. The "proximal side" of the tether 56,58 pushes the cylindrically shaped mesh 20 partially through itself even though a physician might be pulling on the tether 56,58.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 7, 10, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose or suggest the proximal end being advanced distally past the distal end or the distal end advanced past the proximal end and in combination with all of the other limitations. Also, the prior art fails to disclose or suggest the claimed method used on a bony structure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments are considered persuasive, but are now moot in view of the new grounds of restriction.

Page 5

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Mazzocchi (US 6,168,622 B1), Kotula et al. (US 5,725,552), King et al. (US 3,874,388), Griffin (US 6,312,465 B1), Sardella (US 6,033,428), Das (US 5,335,217), Weinstein et al. (US 5,370,660), Katsaros et al. (US 5,540,715), and Katsaros et al. (US 5,413,571).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

DAVID O. REIP

DJD